

United States Patent and Trademark Office

AND THE STATE OF T

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/997,761	11/29/2001	Edward P. Chrumka	GP-301187(2760/5) 6025	
7590 12/13/2006 .			EXAMINER	
General Motors Corporation			PEACHES, RANDY	
Mail Code 482-			ART UNIT	DARED MUNDED
300 Renaissance Center			ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 300			2617	
Detroit, MI 48265-3000			DATE MAILED: 12/13/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/997,761	CHRUMKA, EDWARD P.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Randy Peaches	2617
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 S This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under the second seco	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	its have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/10/2006	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

1. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claimed subject matter in the instant application fails to define a result in the process that is being claimed. The Examiner has determined that claim 1 and the claims that depend thereof, fail to provide a practical application that produces a useful, tangible and concrete result. Claim 20 provides evidence that claim 1 constitute a disembodied computer algorithm.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 20-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The Specification fails to clearly provide an adequate description of "medium".

Application/Control Number: 09/997,761

Art Unit: 2617

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-6, 8-16, 18-25 and 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bhatia et al (U.S. Publication Number 2002/0090932 A1).

Regarding *claims 1, 12, 20,* Bhatia et al discloses a method, system and computer medium for directing service to a mobile subscriber, which reads on claimed "vehicle", equipped with a hardware and software medium, as disclosed in paragraph [0041]. (Also, reference the present specification on page 6 lines 21-23, where the applicant states that the vehicle client (10) can be a mobile device), comprising:

- receiving, at a content provider (105, 110, 115) via a B2B engine (210), which
 reads on claimed collectively, "service management subsystem," a service
 request from a said mobile subscriber. See paragraph [0046-0047].
- receiving and updating, via the said content provider (105, 110, 115) and B2B
 engine (210), a said mobile subscriber location; See paragraph [0044, 0090]
- determining, at a said content provider (105, 110, 115) and B2B engine (210), a
 real-time subscriber delivery-enabling information, which reads on claimed

Art Unit: 2617

"vehicle delivery-enabling information", based on the service request and the said subscriber location; See paragraph [0047].

- Customizing, which reads on claimed "configuring", at a said content provider
 (105, 110, 115) and B2B engine (210)the service corresponding to the service
 request based on the said real time subscriber delivery enabling information,
 wherein the service is customized by the said content provider; See paragraph
 [0047, 0055, 0056].
- providing the said customized information, from the said at content provider (105, 110, 115) and B2B engine (210), to the said mobile subscriber. See paragraph [0047].

The Examiner would like to further maintain that the Applicant's claimed, service management subsystem, has been interpreted by the Examiner as the collective elements of the said content provider (105, 110, 115) and B2B engine (210).

Regarding *claims 2, 13 and 21*, according to *claims 1, 12, 20*, Bhatia et al discloses in paragraph [0090], a Subscriber Identification Module (SIM) toolkit (454), which reads on claimed "vehicle communication component", capable of transmitting real-time information associated with a particular said mobile subscriber.

Regarding *claims 3 and 22*, according to *claims 2 and 21*, Bhatia et al discloses in paragraph [0090], of an ISDN number (MSISDN), which reads on claimed "vehicle identifier", used to identify user information and said mobile subscriber location.

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding *claims 4, 14 and 23*, according to *claims 1, 12, 20*, Bhatia et al discloses in paragraph [0044 and 0046], of communicating a list of portals, e.g. weather, restaurants, etc., via a telecom operator, which reads on claimed "channels or live agent", to a said mobile subscriber's SIM.

Regarding *claims 5, 15 and 24*, according to *claims 4, 14, 23*, Bhatia et al discloses selecting a said portal from a list of delivery portals to deliver the customized service corresponding to the service request. See paragraph [0046].

Regarding *claims 6, 16 and 25*, according to *claims 5, 15, 24*, Bhatia et al discloses in paragraph [0046] of how the B2B engine upon receipt of the said real time information forwards this information to the said portal, thereby permitting the customization, which reads on claimed "optimization", according to the said mobile subscriber's preferences.

Regarding *claims 8, 18 and 27*, according to *claims 1, 12, 20*, Bhatia et al discloses creating a profile that includes real-time subscriber delivery-enabling information. See paragraph [0047].

Regarding *claims 9 and 28*, according to *claims 1 and 20*, Bhatia et al discloses wherein determining said real time subscriber delivery enabling information is based on

Application/Control Number: 09/997,761 Page 6

Art Unit: 2617

personal preference, which reads on claimed "pre-determined", of the user input. See paragraphs [0045, 0058].

Regarding *claims 10 and 29*, according to *claims 1 and 20*, Bhatia et al discloses in paragraph [0045], of when a mobile subscriber turns "on" his/her device, the automatic pre-defined services are generated and sent to the said mobile subscriber based on the request sent out by the said mobile subscriber, when the said device was place in the "on" position. Only then, is when the said subscriber is able to receive information. Otherwise, the requesting information is being stored at the said portals until requested. Therefore, by definition, as cited in the Newton's Telecom Dictionary 2002 edition, electronic mail is any information that has been "stored" and "forwarded" by a service from a computer terminal or computer system.

Regarding *claims 11, 19 and 30*, according to *claims 1, 12, 20*, Bhatia et al discloses updating the said real-time subscriber delivery-enabling information at a Business-to-Business (B2B, 458), which reads on claimed "service management subsystem," while the application is in contact with a said SIM. See paragraph [0090].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/997,761

Art Unit: 2617

Page 7

1. Claims 7, 17 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bhatia et al (U.S. Publication Number 2002/0090932 A1) in view of Doi et al (U.S. Publication Number 2001/0014911).

Regarding *claims 7, 17 and 26*, according to *claim 1, 12 and 20*, Bhatia et al discloses a method, system and computer medium for directing service to a mobile subscriber, which reads on claimed "vehicle", equipped with a hardware and software medium, as disclosed in paragraph [0041], capable:

- receiving and updating a said mobile subscriber location; See paragraph [0044,
 0090]
- determining a real-time subscriber delivery-enabling information, which reads on claimed "vehicle delivery-enabling information", based on the service request and the said subscriber location; See paragraph [0046].
- Customizing, which reads on claimed "configuring", the service corresponding to the service request based on the said real time subscriber delivery enabling information, wherein the service is customized by the B2B Engine (210); See paragraph [0047, 0055, 0056].
- providing the said customized information to the said mobile subscriber. See paragraph [0047].

However, Bhatia et al does not disclose configuring a vehicle communication component in the vehicle based on the vehicle delivery-enabling information.

Doi et al teaches in paragraph [0013], of a mobile terminal comprising a dynamic user profile memory configured to store a dynamic user profile representing information dynamically changed by time.

Therefore at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art to modify Bhatia et al (U.S. Publication Number 2002/0090932 A1) to include Doi et al (U.S. Publication Number 2001/0014911) in order obtain a system, method and medium, that has the flexibility to allow different users to use the same said vehicle and afford the user the ability to change his/her preferences in the said system in order to receive the necessary information from the network.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

After further examination of the Applicant's claims, the Examiner has issued a 35 USC § 101 rejection based on the reasons set forth in the above Office action.

The Applicant argues that the cited prior art of Bhatia fails to render support that the said B2B engine and the said content provider can be considered, collectively, as a management system supporting the transmission content message to mobile subscribers. The Examiner maintains, based on the applicant's own admission on page 6 of the Specification lines 13-16, wherein the Applicant states that the service management subsystem can consist of one or more applications and managers. Therefore, the combination of Bhatia's B2B engine and the content provider does indeed meet the limitation cited by the Applicant in the instant application.

Application/Control Number: 09/997,761

Art Unit: 2617

Claims 1-30 stand rejected.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Randy Peaches whose telephone number is (571) 272-

7914. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Joseph H. Feild can be reached on (571) 272-4090. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have guestions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Randy Peaches December 11, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATEINT EXAMINER

Page 9